

Appln No. 10/750,203
Amtd. Dated February 7, 2005
Response to Office action of January 12, 2005

3

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

AMENDMENT

In response to the Examiner's final Office Action of January 12, 2005 the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment to the claims and the below Remarks directed thereto.

Claims 1-3 are currently pending in the present application. In the Amendment: claim 1 is further amended to be directed to an inkjet cradle assembly which has the inkjet cartridge removably received in the complementary cradle body; and claims 2 and 3 are amended to conform with amended claim 1.

It is respectfully submitted that the above amendments do not add new matter to or present new issues in the present application.

REMARKS

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described further amendment to independent claim 1, and claims 2 and 3 dependent therefrom, overcomes the Examiner's continued rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Silverbrook et al. (USP 6,447,113) for at least the following reasons.

As per the assertions made by the Examiner in the Response to Arguments section of the present Office Action, claim 1 has been amended to positively claim the inkjet cartridge as part of the cradle assembly of the present invention in order to distinguish the claimed invention over the printer of Silverbrook.

Accordingly, the amended claimed language directed to the cradle assembly including a cartridge of the type which houses direct ink delivery paths between the printhead and ink supply defines a structural element of the claimed invention which is not disclosed or suggested by Silverbrook for at least the reasons discussed in the Applicant's response to the first Office Action. Furthermore, the amended claimed language makes it clear that the cradle body does not house any ink connections which is also not disclosed or suggested by Silverbrook for at least the reasons discussed in the Applicant's response to the first Office Action.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended claim 1, and claims 2 and 3 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed or suggested by Silverbrook.

Appln No. 10/760,203
Amdt. Dated February 7, 2005
Response to Office action of January 12, 2005

4

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762